REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested in view of the

foregoing amendments and the following explanations and remarks.

In paragraph 3 of the Office Action, claims 61 and 62 were rejected under the judicially

created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 25 and

34 of applicant's prior U.S. Patent 6,643,355, and claims 1 and 11 of applicant's prior U.S.

Patent 6,442,24.

With regard to the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting

rejection, please enter the attached Terminal Disclaimer and requisite fee. The entry of the

enclosed Terminal Disclaimer should remove applicant's prior U.S. Patents 6,442,241 and

6,643,355 as a reference in the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Accordingly, in view of the foregoing explanations and remarks it is respectfully

requested that claims 61 and 62 be allowed, and that this application be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

June 6, 2005

2916 West T.C. Jester Blvd.

Suite 100

Houston, TX 77018

(713) 686-7676

Kenneth A. Roddy

Agent for Applicant

Registration No. 31,294

2